

## **Project Rating Criteria**

Applicant:	Date:
District:	County:
Reviewer:	
1. LOCATION MAP (worth 3 points)	
	tes the project site in relation to nearby intersecting roads, ater, and any other identifying points. The map includes a e clearly delineated.
2. SITE ANALYSIS (worth 10 points)	
(maximum 5 points) The 6 informative co from several vantage points and are prop	lor photographs provide a good picture of existing conditions erly labeled.
(maximum 2 points) Existing site features indicated using the text labels, a simple p	such as guard rail, signs, or steep slopes t are clearly lan drawing, and/or a narrative.
(maximum 3 points) Existing vegetation th	nat is to remain and that is to be removed is clearly indicated
3. PROJECT DESCRIPTION (worth 6 points)	
Applicant's concept is descriptive, easily unde	erstandable, and concise.
(maximum 1 points) The concept explains the community.	s why this location was chosen and the project's relevance to
6755-9, <u>Landscaping on D.O.T. Right of W</u>	es how the Department's landscape policy found in TOPPs (ay, applies directly to this project site, such as appropriate I, and the restricted use of invasive plant material.
(maximum 1 points) The narrative explain and installation if awarded a grant.	ns how the applicant plans to implement plan development

## 4. CONCEPTUAL LANDSCAPE DRAWING (worth 13 points) The applicant has clearly indicated what the project entails with a simple plan drawing. (maximum 5 points) The drawing indicates the intended locations of trees, shrub and groundcover masses, and grass. The drawing does not have to be to scale, indicate quantities, or indicate exact locations of each plant. A typical cross section may be included in the application package if the applicant believes it will provide additional clarification. (maximum 5 points) The plan lists the proposed plant material, including the botanical names of the plants (maximum 3 points) The plan emphasizes native plant material **5. COST ESTIMATE** (worth 3 points) (maximum 3 points) The cost estimate for initial installation appears to be realistic for the project as described and the applicant has an understanding of costs associated with the proposed project. 6. LONG TERM MAINTENANCE ACTION PLAN (worth 15 points) Applicant indicates a thorough understanding of the long term maintenance requirements and maintenance funding necessary for a successful gateway project. (maximum 5 points) The action plan clarifies who will monitor the maintenance, who will perform the maintenance and how often maintenance activities will occur. (maximum 5 points) The action plan addresses all maintenance needs for the specific project proposal such as, but not limited to, mowing, mulching, weed control, edging, future replacements, future

(maximum 5 points) The action plan provides realistic, long term cost projections and funding source.

**TOTAL POINTS\*** (out of 50)

Comments:

repairs, watering, pruning, fertilizing, litter removal, etc.

<sup>\*</sup>minimum score of 40 points required for grant consideration